UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

BRITE FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC, GERALD D. GRAYS, and DALE L. RILEY,

No. 2:17-cv-13516

Plaintiffs,

HON. AVERN COHN

v

MAG. MONA K. MAJZOUB

BOBBY'S TOWING SERVICES, LLC, ROBERT L. HARDISON, DENISE BOYCE-HARDISON, CITY OF DETROIT, DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT, and JOHN DOES 1-3,

INTERVENOR MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY GENERAL
DANA NESSEL'S MOTION
TO WITHDRAW AS
INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT

Defendants,

MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL DANA NESSEL,

Intervenor-Defendant.

Jason M. Katz (P62923) Robert E. Zielinski (P69250) Attorneys for Plaintiffs 30665 Northwestern Hwy., Ste. 202 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248) 702-6310 jkatz@katz2law.com

Aaron D. Cox (P69346) Attorney for Plaintiffs 23380 Goddard Rd. Taylor, MI 48180 (734) 287-3664 aaron@aaroncoxlaw.com Mark K. Wasvary (P51575) Attorney for Plaintiffs 2401 W. Big Beaver Rd., Ste. 100 Troy, MI 48084 (248) 649-5667 mark@wasvarylaw.com

Teri L. Dennings (P68884)

Marc A. Deldin (P71041)

Attorneys for Defendants Bobby's and Hardisons

48 S. Main St., Ste. 3

Mount Clemens, MI 48043

(586) 741-8116

teri@deldinlaw.com

marc@deldinlaw.com

Michael M. Muller (P38070)

Attorney for Defendant Detroit Police Department

660 Woodward Ave., Ste. 1650

Detroit, MI 48226

(313) 237-5052

Mullm@detroitmi.gov

John G. Fedynsky (P65232)

Patrick S. Myers (P81444)

Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant

Michigan Department of Attorney General

Complex Litigation Division

P.O. Box 30736

Lansing, MI 48909

(517) 335-3055

fedynskyj@michigan.gov

myersp4@michigan.gov

INTERVENOR MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL DANA NESSEL'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT Intervenor Attorney General Dana Nessel, by counsel, submits this motion to withdraw as intervenor, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.1(c), Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a), and 28 U.S.C. § 2403(b). Intervenor respectfully requests that the Court grant her motion to withdraw.

Respectfully submitted,

Dana Nessel Attorney General

/s/ Patrick S. Myers

Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant Complex Litigation Division P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-3055 Myersp4@michigan.gov P81444

Dated: January 8, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 8, 2019, I electronically filed the above document(s) with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System, which will provide electronic copies to counsel of record.

/s/ Patrick S. Myers

Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant Complex Litigation Division P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-3055 Myersp4@michigan.gov P81444

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

BRITE FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC, GERALD D. GRAYS, and DALE L. RILEY,

No. 2:17-cv-13516

Plaintiffs,

HON. AVERN COHN

V

BOBBY'S TOWING SERVICES, LLC, ROBERT L. HARDISON, DENISE BOYCE-HARDISON, CITY OF DETROIT, DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT, and JOHN DOES 1-3, MAG. MONA K. MAJZOUB

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
INTERVENOR MICHIGAN
ATTORNEY GENERAL
DANA NESSEL'S MOTION
TO WITHDRAW AS
INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT

Defendants,

MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL DANA NESSEL.

Intervenor-Defendant.

Jason M. Katz (P62923) Robert E. Zielinski (P69250) Attorneys for Plaintiffs 30665 Northwestern Hwy., Ste. 202 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248) 702-6310 jkatz@katz2law.com

Aaron D. Cox (P69346) Attorney for Plaintiffs 23380 Goddard Rd. Taylor, MI 48180 (734) 287-3664

aaron@aaroncoxlaw.com

Mark K. Wasvary (P51575) Attorney for Plaintiffs 2401 W. Big Beaver Rd., Ste. 100 Troy, MI 48084 (248) 649-5667 mark@wasvarylaw.com

Marc A. Deldin (P71041)
Teri L. Dennings (P68884)
Attorneys for Defendants
48 S. Main St., Ste. 3
Mount Clemens, MI 48043
(586) 741-8116
marc@deldinlaw.com
teri@deldinlaw.com

John G. Fedynsky (P65232)
Patrick S. Myers (P81444)
Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant
Michigan Department of Attorney General
Complex Litigation Division
P.O. Box 30736
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-3055
fedynskyj@michigan.gov
myersp4@michigan.gov

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF INTERVENOR MICHIGAN ATTORNEY
GENERAL DANA NESSEL'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT

Dana Nessel Attorney General

Patrick S. Myers Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant Complex Litigation Division P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-3055 Myersp4@michigan.gov P81444

Dated: January 8, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Table of Contents	i
Concise Statement of Issues Presented	ii
Controlling or Most Appropriate Authority	ii
Statement of Facts	1
Argument	1
I. This Court should grant Intervenor's motion to withdraw because intervention is discretionary, withdrawal would serve judicial economy, and withdrawal would not prejudice the parties.	1
Conclusion and Relief Requested	4
Certificate of Service	5

CONCISE STATEMENT OF ISSUE PRESENTED

1. Should this Court grant Intervenor's motion to withdraw?

CONTROLLING OR MOST APPROPRIATE AUTHORITY

Authority: Fed. R. Civ. P. 24

Fed. R. Civ. P. 21

Bogaert v. Land, No. 1:08-CV-687, 2009 WL 1010950 (W.D. Mich. Apr. 14, 2009)

STATEMENT OF FACTS

On August 16, 2018, Intervenor Michigan Attorney General filed a notice of intervention to defend the constitutionality of Mich. Comp.

Laws § 257.252 et seq. (Notice of Intervention, R. 33, Page ID ## 606–607.) On September 21, 2018, Intervenor filed a partial motion to dismiss. (Intervenor's Partial Mot. to Dismiss, R. 34, Page ID ## 609–641.) All Defendants concurred in Intervenor's motion. (Defs.' Concurrences, R. 35, Page ID ## 643–646; R. 39, Page ID ## 664–665.) On October 26, 2018, Plaintiffs filed their response to Intervenor's motion. (Pls.' Resp., R. 41, Page ID ## 675–738.) On November 15, 2018, Intervenor filed a reply brief. (Intervenor's Reply, R. 44, Page ID ## 744–755.) The Court scheduled Intervenor's motion for hearing on January 9, 2019. (Notice of Mot. Hr'g, R. 42, Page ID # 739.)

ARGUMENT

I. This Court should grant Intervenor's motion to withdraw because intervention is discretionary, withdrawal would serve judicial economy, and withdrawal would not prejudice the parties.

Rule 21 permits the district court to "at any time, on just terms, add or drop a party." Fed. R. Civ. P. 21. "Pursuant to Rule 21, a court

may exercise its discretion to drop a party from a lawsuit sua sponte whose presence no longer affects the issues being litigated." Letherer v. Alger Group, L.L.C., 328 F.3d 262, 267 (6th Cir. 2003) (internal quotations marks omitted), recognized as overruled on other grounds in Blackburn v. Oaktree Capital Mgmt., LLC, 511 F.3d 633, 636 (6th Cir. 2008). However, a court may not drop a party that is deemed indispensable under Rule 19. George S. Hofmesiter Family Trust Dated June 21, 1991 v. FGH Industries, LLC, No. 06–cv–13984–DT, 2006 WL 3741906, at *6 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 15, 2006). See also Newman–Green, Inc. v. Alfonzo–Larrain, 490 U.S. 826, 832 (1989) ("[I]t is well settled that Rule 21 invests district courts with authority to allow a dispensable nondiverse party to be dropped at any time. . . .").

Intervenor filed a notice of intervention on August 16, 2018.

(Notice of Intervention, R. 33, Page ID ## 606–607.) Intervenor no longer seeks to pursue her right to participate as a party in this litigation and, therefore, now moves to withdraw. The decision to seek intervention is a discretionary choice, initiated by the movant, under Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. See, e.g., Kourtis v. Cameron, 419 F.3d 989, 998 (9th Cir. 2005), abrogated on other grounds

by Taylor v. Sturgell, 553 U.S. 880 (2008) ("Intervention has been conceived as a device that permits a nonparty to become a party when it wishes. . . .") (emphasis in original). And just as a plaintiff has the right to decide she no longer wishes to pursue a claim or an action, an intervenor may decide that she no longer wishes to pursue intervention. See Bogaert v. Land, No. 1:08-CV-687, 2009 WL 1010950, at *3 (W.D. Mich. Apr. 14, 2009). Since Intervenor is not an indispensable party and may decide not to continue as an intervening party, this Court should permit her to withdraw.

Moreover, withdrawal would also serve judicial economy and would not prejudice any of the remaining parties. Withdrawal would reduce the number of parties in this proceeding and streamline discovery. And no remaining party would be prejudiced by Intervenor's withdrawal, since Defendants can adequately represent their interests. Because intervention is discretionary, withdrawal would serve judicial economy, and withdrawal would not prejudice any party, this Court should grant Intervenor's motion to withdraw.

CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED

For the reasons discussed above, Intervenor respectfully requests that the Court grant her motion to withdraw.

Respectfully submitted,

Dana Nessel Attorney General

/s/ Patrick S. Myers

Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant Complex Litigation Division P.O. Box 30736 Lansing, MI 48909 (517) 335-3055 Myersp4@michigan.gov P81444

Dated: January 8, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 8, 2019, I electronically filed the above document(s) with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System, which will provide electronic copies to counsel of record.

/s/ Patrick S. Myers
Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for IntervenorDefendant
Complex Litigation Division
P.O. Box 30736
Lansing, MI 48909

(517) 335-3055 Myersp4@michigan.gov P81444